

MS321 Tutorial 2, question 1

1. Show that any permutation in S_n can be expressed as a product of the elements from the set of transpositions of adjacent numbers

$$\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), \dots, (n - 1, n)\}.$$

(Example: For $n = 4$, the transpositions are $\{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$, while the transpositions of adjacent numbers are $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$)

(Hint: We already know that any permutation in S_n can be expressed as a product of general transpositions. Now write a general transposition in terms of transpositions of adjacent numbers.)

Since we know that any permutation in S_n can be expressed as a product of general transpositions we just need to show that a general transposition (i, j) can be expressed as a product transpositions of adjacent numbers.

We begin with the simplest case: $(1, 3) \in S_3$. Can we swap 1 with 3 using a combination of swapping 1 with 2 and swapping 2 with 3? We begin by swapping 1 with 2. Now the first element is in the second place and swapping 2 with 3 will put it in the third place which is where we want it. Let us see what we have.

$$(2, 3) \circ (1, 2) = (1, 3, 2)$$

This is since

$$\begin{aligned}(2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(1) &= (2, 3)(2) = 3, \\(2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(2) &= (2, 3)(1) = 1, \\(2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(3) &= (2, 3)(3) = 2.\end{aligned}$$

This is not quite right. 1 is where we want it. 2 and 3 are not. However they are in the first two positions so swapping 1 with 2 will put them in the correct places. Thus

$$(1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2) = (1, 3)$$

It is worth following the elements through the compositions:

$$\begin{aligned}(1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(1) &= (1, 2) \circ (2, 3)(2) = (1, 2)(3) = 3, \\(1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(2) &= (1, 2) \circ (2, 3)(1) = (1, 2)(1) = 2, \\(1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2)(3) &= (1, 2) \circ (2, 3)(3) = (1, 2)(2) = 1.\end{aligned}$$

1 went to 2, then to 3 and stayed there. 2 went to 1, stayed there and then went back to 2. 3 stayed where it was, then went to 2 and then to 1.

To produce (1, 4) you could start with $(3, 4) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2) = (1, 4, 3, 2)$ which puts 1 in the correct place. Now 4 is in the third place and will be sent to the first place by $(1, 2) \circ (2, 3)$, so try that:

$$(1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (3, 4) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2) = (1, 4).$$

Again we can follow the elements through the compositions:

$$1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 4$$

$$2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 2$$

$$3 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 3$$

$$4 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1$$

The same kind of reasoning also gives $(2, 3) \circ (1, 2) \circ (2, 3) = (1, 3)$ and $(3, 4) \circ (2, 3) \circ (1, 2) \circ (2, 3) \circ (3, 4) = (1, 4)$. (Sort out the last element first, then the first.)

Now for the hard part: writing a general proof. The neatest way is probably to use induction.

For $i < k < j$ consider the product $(k, k + 1) \circ (i, k) \circ (k, k + 1)$:

$$(k, k + 1) \circ (i, k) \circ (k, k + 1)(i) = (k, k + 1) \circ (i, k)(i) = (k, k + 1)(k) = k + 1$$

$$(k, k + 1) \circ (i, k) \circ (k, k + 1)(k) = (k, k + 1) \circ (i, k)(k + 1) = (k, k + 1)(k + 1) = k$$

$$(k, k + 1) \circ (i, k) \circ (k, k + 1)(k + 1) = (k, k + 1) \circ (i, k)(k) = (k, k + 1)(i) = i$$

so that $(k, k + 1) \circ (i, k) \circ (k, k + 1) = (i, k + 1)$. Applied repeatedly, this gives

$$(i, j) = (j, j - 1) \circ (j - 1, j - 2) \circ \dots \circ (i + 2, i + 1) \circ (i, i + 1) \circ (i + 2, i + 1) \circ \dots \circ (j - 1, j - 2) \circ (j, j - 1).$$